## EDUCATION OF LOOKED AFTER CHILDREN

Venue:	Town Hall, Rotherham I	Date:	Monday, 28 February 2005
		Time:	9.30 a.m.

#### AGENDA

- 1. To determine if the following matters are to be considered under the categories suggested, in accordance with the Local Government Act 1972.
- 2. To determine any item which the Chairman is of the opinion should be considered later in the agenda as a matter of urgency.
- 3. Minutes of the previous meeting held on 20th December, 2005 (copy attached). (Pages 1 5)
- 4. Education Development Plan 2005 (Draft Section relating to the Educational Attainment of Children in Public Care attached). (Pages 6 9)
   Ann Clegg to report.
- 5. Get Real Team Update Report (copy attached). (Pages 10 13)
   Katy Hawkins, Get Real Team Manger to report.
- 6. Date of next meeting Monday, 18th April, 2005 at 9.30 am



#### EDUCATION OF LOOKED AFTER CHILDREN 20th December, 2004

Present:- Councillor Boyes (in the Chair); Councillors Kirk and Littleboy.

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Gosling.

## 11. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING HELD ON 18TH OCTOBER, 2004

Resolved:- That the minutes of the meeting held on 18<sup>th</sup> October, 2004 be received.

#### 12. TEENAGERS TO WORK - UPDATE

In accordance with Minute No. 43 of the Cabinet Member, Social Services, Delegated Powers meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> August, 2004 and Minute No. 9(7) of the meeting of the Education of Looked After Children held on 18<sup>th</sup> October, 2004, the Get Real Team Manager submitted an update report on the Teenagers to Work project.

The Get Real Team Manager reported that young people, in the care of the local authority, traditionally under achieved in education and would go on to be over represented in vulnerable groups as adults, namely homeless and unemployed. This was highlighted in the Quality Protects research (1998) which identified significant barriers to achieving in education and hence going on to gain sustainable employment. Attention was turned to improving outcomes for these young people in Education Employment and Training.

In 1999, Rotherham Social Services piloted a project where Looked After Young People spent a day work shadowing Elected Members and Managers within the Council. This proved to be a valuable experience for all involved.

In 2001 a Support Worker was appointed to develop and support young people into placements.

The project aims to improve the employability of this vulnerable group of young people by providing work experience placements which help young people learn the skills necessary to sustain gainful employment and offers support in a number of different ways:-

- Work placements for Year 10 and Year 11 pupils, this can be as a one off package, placements during school holidays, or as a combination of education and employment to enable a young person to successfully complete statutory schooling.
- Work experience placements for school leavers.

#### **REPORT FOR INFORMATION**

- Support into training packages.
- Support around gaining specific skills required for gaining employment. e.g. We recently helped a young person gain a fork lift truck operating licence

The report described project methods, project outcomes and the Rotherham Trainee Initiative.

The report concluded and recommended that the project had gone from strength to strength over the three years of its existence. It had demonstrated the need for intensive support, a flexible and supportive approach and the need for incentives to get young people into the workplace instead of relying upon benefits.

The project needed positive promotion within the Council to enable the provision of training placements in-house for Looked After young people and Care Leavers. Supporting the theme of corporate parents ensures the best possible outcomes of our young people.

One future plan would be to provide a working café which would enable young people to gain skills in all areas of catering, business management, and other related areas as well as having a positive environment to promote work placements. This would initially need a considerable financial contribution but with ongoing low level funding it could be self sustaining and provide a source of employment for local people.

With the substantial growth of the project, the need to make the support worker post permanent had become apparent as had the need for administrative support to the project.

The Panel referred to the placements already secured within the Council and asked if placements could be further explored in other departments, particularly, Libraries for community activities and the arts. It was felt that improved co-ordination was required.

Resolved:- That the report be received and this matter be kept under review.

# 13. GET REAL TEAM - UPDATE REPORT BY THE GET REAL TEAM MANAGER

Katy Hawkins, Manager of the Get Real Team, gave an update on progress and reported on the following :-

#### (a) The Team

The team was currently fully staffed. A Team Away Day had taken place looking at issues of quality provision, feedback from stakeholders and developing a Team Mission Statement. This was still in its early stages and a progress report would be given to the Panel in the New Year. The teaching resource was at full capacity with the highest number of Year 11 pupils since the team began. Discussions were taking place with Senior Management in Education and Social Services regarding the numbers that were not able to access mainstream teaching which had had a major impact on the resources within the team.

There had been some increase in the teaching capacity to help cope with the amount of time being put into the 2 pupils unable to be taught by Whiston Grange and these lines of communication remain open.

There had been a significant increase in the number of Year 8 pupils displaying extremely challenging behaviour in school, which had further added to the team's workload.

#### (b) Pupils Off School Roll

There were currently four young people off school roll and the action being taken to meet the pupils' educational needs was explained.

#### (c) Local Public Service Agreement

An analysis of predicted key stage 2 SAT's results had been completed and the Team were currently looking at achieving 52.9%. This would take the Team above the figure required for the PSA agreement which was 44%. However there were many risk factors between now and the sitting of these tests which were beyond the Team's control and could negatively impact upon the final outcome.

The following update was given:

End of Quarter 2 'Proxy measures' data were at

- 1. Completed Personal Education Plans Year 6 target 100%, actual100% Year 11 target 100%, actual 91.8%
- % of school attendance Year 6 target 90%, actual 86.8% Year 11 target 90%, actual 86.9%
- 3. Extra Curricular Support Year 6 target 100%, actual 100% Year 11 target 100%, actual 100%

## (d) Activities for Looked After Children

- A funding bid had been unsuccessful with Yorkshire Arts to undertake a further Drama production. However, RCAT had agreed to reduce their

## **REPORT FOR INFORMATION**

costings to enable a further drama production to be held.

- Driving lessons were being offered to young people and nineteen young people had been registered for lessons with eight already started.

- There had been significant lobbying from young people, schools, Social Services staff and Foster Carers for another "Young Champions Award Ceremony". Funding for this event was not easy to find. £20,000 would be needed. This event had been held twice in Rotherham since the development of the team and had proven to be highly beneficial to children and young people's self esteem; many other local authorities hold the event on a regular basis.

Katy reported that there was a need to engage a celebrity for this to be a success.

#### (e) Designated Governors

There was now 100% designated governors in all schools and the questionnaire regarding their training needs was about to be re-issued. A model governor's policy from the DfES website had been located and it was hoped to encourage a small number of Chairs of Governors to assist in developing this policy for Rotherham schools.

#### (f) Planned Training

- A day's training for Social Workers around the education of Looked After Children had been planned for 17<sup>th</sup> January, 2005, to be rolled out on a regular basis.

- Conference for Social Services staff, Foster Carers and Residential staff being planned for 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2005, along similar lines to the designated teacher and governors conference held in November, 2004. From this it was hoped to hold a Joint Conference later in the year encouraging a more joint approach.

- After consultation with the Provider Services Manager, it had been agreed that, in order to continue receiving enhanced payments or to work towards that level of payment, Foster Carers must attend a minimum of two hours training around education issues. From this, more comprehensive packages can be developed based upon their need and engage Carers further. This was planned for early in 2005.

- Planning to set up termly drop-in sessions for designated Teachers, and a termly briefing note starting next term.

#### (g) Post 16 Awards Ceremony

Katy thanked Members for attending the Post 16 Awards Ceremony held on 24<sup>th</sup> November, 2004 which had highlighted some of the very positive outcomes for young people and also thanked Members for their continued commitment to Looked After Children.

## 14. DATE OF NEXT MEETING - 28TH FEBRUARY, 2005 AT 9.30 AM

The next meeting of the Panel will take place on Monday, 28<sup>th</sup> February, 2005 at 9.30 a.m.

PRIORITY (4) : VULNERABLE PUPILS ACTIVITY 4:3 : CHILDREN IN PUBLIC CARE         Focus of activity:       • Raise educational attainment of CiPC • To improve actual attendance of CiPC • To decrease fixed and permanent exclusion of CiPC         Who is the activity aimed at?       Children and young people in care from 3-16+, school staff, Governors, Social Services, parents/carers/foster carers, RMBC Support Services         Strategies for Implementation: Teaching and Learning       Milestones         T1       Individual pupil data used to inform assessment for learning and improve educational attainment of		ΔRF	EA OF FOCUS	
ACTIVITY 4:3 : CHILDREN IN PUBLIC CARE         Focus of activity: <ul> <li>Raise educational attainment of CIPC</li> <li>To decrease fixed and permanent exclusion of CIPC</li> </ul> Who is the activity aimed at?       Children and young people in care from 3-16+, school staff, Governors, Social Services, parents/carers/foster carers, RMBC Support Services       Timescale         Strategies for Implementation: Teaching and Learning       Milestones       February 2005         11 Individual pupil data used to inform CIPC <ul> <li>All GRT teachers trained and able to use FFT, PAT etc.</li> <li>CIPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improve educational attainment of CIPC</li> <li>Schools and Social Services work in partnership to ensure individual pupil have chailenging targets set through PEE process.</li> </ul> December 2005         Leadership and Management <ul> <li>Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards to raising attainment of CIPC</li> <li>Programme of communication between GRT, schools (headteachers; designated teachers, governors) social workers:</li> <li>GRT information leaflet(s) to schools published (Inclusion</li> </ul> Summer 2005         Interver all CIPC pupils have a school place <ul> <li>Monitoring/reporting on implementation of 20 day</li> <li>Beginning Learning data</li> </ul>				
Focus of activity: <ul> <li>Raise educational attainment of CIPC</li> <li>To improve actual attendance of CIPC</li> <li>To decrease fixed and permanent exclusion of CIPC</li> </ul> Who is the activity aimed at?         Children and young people in care from 3-16+, shool staff, Governors, Social Services, parents/carers/foster carers, RMBC Support Services             Strategies for Implementation: Teaching and Learning         Milestones         Timescale           11 Individual pupil data used to inform assessment for learning and improve educational attainment of CIPC <ul> <li>All GRT teachers trained and able to use FFT, PAT etc.</li> <li>CIPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improvement planning</li> <li>Schools and Social Services work in partnership to ensure individual pupils have challenging targets set through PEP process.</li> <li>Keyworker system introduced for each YS, Y6, Y10, Y11 pupil entered for SATs/ GCSEs.</li> </ul> March 2005           Leadership and Management fully aware of their responsibilities to raising attainment of CiPC <ul> <li>Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPC</li> <li>Programme of communication between GRT, schools (headteachers; designated teachers; governors) social workers:</li> <li>GRT information leaflet(s) to schools published (Inclusion</li> </ul> Summer 2005 Spring Term 2005 onwards CiPC           Inclusion         I         Ensure all CiPC pupils have a school place          Monittoring/r				
<ul> <li>To improve actual attendance of CIPC</li> <li>To decrease fixed and permanent exclusion of CIPC</li> <li>To decrease fixed and permanent exclusion of CIPC</li> <li>Children and young people in care from 3-16+, school staff, Governors, Social Services, parents/carers/, RMBC Support Services.</li> <li>Strategies for Implementation: Teaching and Learning</li> <li>Individual pupil data used to inform assessment for learning and improve educational attainment of CIPC</li> <li>CiPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improvement planning</li> <li>Schools and Social Services ork in partnership to ensure individual pupils have a schools, social workers are fully aware of their responsibilities to raising attainment of CIPC</li> <li>Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CIPC</li> <li>Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CIPC</li> <li>February 2005</li> <li>Stars / GCSEs.</li> <li>Summer 2005</li> <li>Spring Term 2005 onwards CIPC</li> <li>February 2005 (RT, schools published (Inclusion Works))</li> <li>Inclusion</li> <li>Ensure all CIPC pupils have a school place</li> </ul>		[		
Who is the activity aimed at?       Children and young people in care from 3-16+, school staff, Governors, Social Services, parents/carers/foster carers, RMBC Support Services partners in Children's Services.       Timescale         Strategies for Implementation: Teaching and Learning       Milestones       Timescale         11 Individual pupil data used to inform assessment for learning and improve educational attainment of CiPC       All GRT teachers trained and able to use FFT, PAT etc.       February 2005         • CiPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improvement planning       • CiPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improvement planning       • Schools and Social         • Schools and Social Services work in partnership to ensure individual pupils have a fully aware of their responsibilities to raising attainment of CiPC       • Keyworker system introduced for each Y5, Y6, Y10, Y11 pupil entered for SATs/ GCSEs.       Summer 2005         Leadership and Management to raising attainment of CiPC       • Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPC       Spring Term 2005 onwards         Inclusion       • Model policy for role of governing social workers:       • GRT information leaflet(s) to schools published (Inclusion Works)       Spring Term 2005 onwards         Inclusion       • Monitoring/reporting on school place       • Monitoring/reporting on dimplementation of 20 day       Beginning	Focus of activity.			
aimed at?school staff, Governors, Social Services, parents/carers/foster carers, RMBC Support ServicesTimescaleStrategies for Implementation: Teaching and LearningNilestonesTimescale11 Individual pupil data used to inform assessment for learning and improve educational attainment of CiPCAll GRT teachers trained and able to use FFT, PAT etc.February 20050CiPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improve educational attainment of CiPCCiPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improvement planningDecember 20050Schools and Social Services work in partnership to ensure individual pupils have challenging targets set through PEP process.March 20051InclusionNodel policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPCSummer 20051Fregramme of communication between GRT, schools (headteachers; designated teachers; governors) social workers:Summer 20051Ensure all CiPC pupils have a school placeMonitoring/reporting on implementation of 20 dayBeginning Learning Learning on implementation of 20 day			•	
Governors, Social Services, partners in Children's Services       Timescale         Strategies for Implementation: Teaching and Learning       Milestones       Timescale         11 Individual pupil data used to inform assessment for learning and improve educational attainment of CIPC       • All GRT teachers trained and able to use FFT, PAT etc.       February 2005         • CIPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improvement planning       • CIPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improvement planning       February 2005         • Schools and Social Services work in partnership to ensure individual pupils have challenging targets set through PEP process.       March 2005         • Keyworker system introduced for each Y5, Y6, Y10, Y11 pupil entered for SATs' GCSEs.       March 2005         Leadership and Management fully aware of their responsibilities to raising attainment of CIPC       • Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CIPC       Summer 2005         • Programme of communication between GRT, schools (headteachers; designated teachers; governors) social workers:       Summer 2005         • GRT information leaflet(s) to schools published (inclusion Works)       • Monitoring/reporting on implementation of 20 day				
parents/carers/foster carers, RMBC Support Services partners in Children's Services.TimescaleStrategies for Implementation: Teaching and LearningMilestonesTimescale11 Individual pupil data used to inform assessment for learning and improve educational attainment of CiPCAll GRT teachers trained and able to use FFT, PAT etc.February 2005• CiPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improve education/school improve education/school improve education attainment of CiPC• CiPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improvement planningFebruary 2005• Schools and Social Services work in partnership to ensure individual pupils have challenging targets set through PEP process .March 2005Leadership and Management tury aware of their responsibilities to raising attainment of CiPC• Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPCSummer 2005Inclusion• Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPCSpring Term 2005 onwardsSpring Term 2005 onwardsInclusionIEnsure all CiPC pupils have a school place• Monitoring/reporting on implementation of 20 dayBeginning learner 2005			,	
partners in Children's Services.Strategies for Implementation: Teaching and LearningTimescaleTiadividual pupil data used to inform assessment for learning and improve educational attainment of CiPCAll GRT teachers trained and able to use FFT, PAT etc.TimescaleAll GRT teachers trained and able to use FFT, PAT etc.February 2005CiPCCiPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improvement planningDecember 2005Schools and Social Services work in partnership to ensure individual pupils have challenging targets set through PEP process.March 2005Leadership and Management•Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPCSummer 2005Leadership and Management•Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPCSummer 2005Leadership and Management•Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPCSummer 2005Leadership and Management•Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPCSummer 2005Leadership and Management•Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPCSummer 2005Leadership and Management•Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPCSummer 2005Inclusion•Model policy for role of GRT, schools (headteachers; designated teachers; designated (Inclusion Works)Beginning Bodies towards CiPCInclusion•Monitoring/reporting implementation of 20 dayBeginning Beginni				
MilestonesTimescaleTimescaleTi Individual pupil data used to inform assessment for learning and improve educational attainment of CiPCAll GRT teachers trained and able to use FFT, PAT etc.CiPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improvement planningDecember 2005Schools and Social Services work in partnership to ensure individual pupils have challenging targets set through PEP process.March 2005Leadership and Management• Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPCSummer 2005Leadership and Management• Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPCSummer 2005Leadership and Management• Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPCSummer 2005Leadership and Management• Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPCSummer 2005Leadership and Management• Model policy for role of GOVERSummer 2005Lin Ensure schools, social workers are fully aware of their responsibilities to raising attainment of CiPC• Model policy for role of GOVERSummer 2005Inclusion• Model policy for role of GRT, schools (heatteachers, designated teachers, designated				
Teaching and LearningAll GRT teachers trained and able to use FFT, PAT etc.February 2005T1Individual pupil data used to inform assessment for learning and improve educational attainment of CiPC• CiPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improvement planning• December 2005• Schools and Social Services work in partnership to ensure individual pupils have challenging targets set through PEP process.• Keyworker system introduced for each Y5, Y6, Y10, Y11 pupil entered for SATs/ GCSEs.March 2005Leadership and Management fully aware of their responsibilities to raising attainment of CiPC• Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPCSummer 2005Inclusion I1Ensure all CiPC pupils have a school place• Monitoring/reporting on implementation of 20 dayBeginning Leagenning	Strategies for Implementa			Timescale
Image: Service served improve educational attainment of CiPC       and able to use FFT, PAT etc.       December 2005         Image: Service served improve educational attainment of CiPC       CiPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improvement planning       December 2005         Schools and Social Services work in partnership to ensure individual pupils have challenging targets set through PEP process .       March 2005         Keyworker system introduced for each Y5, Y6, Y10, Y11 pupil entered for SATs/ GCSEs.       March 2005         Leadership and Management fully aware of their responsibilities to raising attainment of CiPC       Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPC       Summer 2005         Programme of communication between GRT, schools (Inclusion Works)       GRT information leaflet(s) to schools published (Inclusion Works)       Social workers:         Inclusion       Insure all CiPC pupils have a school place       Monitoring/reporting on implementation of 20 day       Beginning Leavert 2005				
<ul> <li>CiPC</li> <li>CiPC data reviewed annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school improvement planning</li> <li>Schools and Social Services work in partnership to ensure individual pupils have challenging targets set through PEP process .</li> <li>Keyworker system introduced for each Y5, Y6, Y10, Y11 pupil entered for SATs/ GCSEs.</li> <li>Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPC</li> <li>Programme of communication between GRT, schools (headteachers; designated teachers, governors) social workers:</li> <li>GRT information leaflet(s) to schools published (Inclusion</li> <li>Ensure all CiPC pupils have a school place</li> <li>Monitoring/reporting on implementation of 20 day</li> <li>Beginning Inclusion</li> </ul>	assessment for learning	and		February 2005
<ul> <li>Schools and Social Services work in partnership to ensure individual pupils have challenging targets set through PEP process.</li> <li>Keyworker system introduced for each Y5, Y6, Y10, Y11 pupil entered for SATs/ GCSEs.</li> <li>Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CIPC</li> <li>Programme of communication between GRT, schools (headteachers; designated teachers; design</li></ul>	•		annually by schools as part of their self evaluation/school	December 2005
Leadership and ManagementL1L1Ensure schools, social workers are fully aware of their responsibilities to raising attainment of CiPC•Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPC•Programme of communication between GRT, schools (headteachers; designated teachers; governors) social workers:•InclusionI1Ensure all CiPC pupils have a school place•Monitoring/reporting implementation of 20 dayBeginning lanuary 2005			Services work in partnership to ensure individual pupils have challenging targets set through PEP process.	
<ul> <li>L1 Ensure schools, social workers are fully aware of their responsibilities to raising attainment of CiPC</li> <li>Programme of communication between GRT, schools (headteachers; designated teachers, governors) social workers:</li> <li>GRT information leaflet(s) to schools published (Inclusion Works)</li> <li>Inclusion</li> <li>In Ensure all CiPC pupils have a school place</li> <li>Model policy for role of Governing Bodies towards CiPC</li> <li>Programme of communication between GRT, schools (headteachers; designated teachers, governors) social workers:</li> <li>GRT information leaflet(s) to schools published (Inclusion Works)</li> </ul>			introduced for each Y5, Y6, Y10, Y11 pupil entered for	March 2005
<ul> <li>Induce policy for fole of fully aware of their responsibilities to raising attainment of CiPC</li> <li>Programme of communication between GRT, schools (headteachers; designated teachers, governors) social workers:</li> <li>GRT information leaflet(s) to schools published (Inclusion Works)</li> <li>Inclusion</li> <li>In Ensure all CiPC pupils have a school place</li> <li>Monitoring/reporting on implementation of 20 day</li> </ul>	Leadership and Management			
<ul> <li>Programme of communication between GRT, schools (headteachers; designated teachers; governors) social workers:</li> <li>GRT information leaflet(s) to schools published (Inclusion Works)</li> <li>Inclusion</li> <li>Inclusion</li> <li>Inclusion</li> <li>Monitoring/reporting on implementation of 20 day</li> <li>Beginning loguopt 2005</li> </ul>	fully aware of their respons	ibilities	Governing Bodies towards	
II Ensure all CiPC pupils have a school place • Monitoring/reporting on implementation of 20 day			<ul> <li>Programme of communication between GRT, schools (headteachers; designated teachers, governors) social workers:</li> <li>GRT information leaflet(s) to schools published</li> </ul>	
school place implementation of 20 day loguary 2005				
		ave a	implementation of 20 day	

		School representation on	January 2005
<ul> <li>Improve KS4 alternative packages/ provision</li> <li>To improve the attendance and behaviour of CiPC pupils</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>School representation on Hard to Place Pupils Working Group</li> <li>Schools have a PSP in place for each pupil, reviewed termly. Keyworker part of PSP.</li> <li>Monitor/report on progress of all KS4 pupils accessing alternative packages/ provision</li> <li>Schools &amp; GRT identify termly those with &lt;90% actual attendance, 2 or more fixed exclusions in last term and action plan to improve.</li> <li>Through PEP process, schools set challenging targets for attendance and behaviour supported by GRT, EWS and/or BSS</li> </ul>	March 2005 ? January 2005 and ongoing April 2005
I4 To ensure all new foster-carers understand their role in raising the attainment of CiPC		Session on education expectations given to all new foster-carers as part of the induction process	Commence January 2005
Responsibility: Head of Inclusion S		n Support Services	
Resources: Budget:	Strategic Leader, Inclusion10 daysHead of Inclusion Support Services30 daysManager of GRT (Get Real Team)120 daysSenior Teacher in GRT60 daysLearning Mentors in GRT90 daysEWS30 daysBSS30 daysSenior SEN and Inter-Agency Liaison Officer30 daysE615 06Grant Funded:LPSA Grant£60000		
	EiC Social Services	£60000	

## **AREA OF FOCUS**

PRIORITY (4) : Support for specific groups of vulnerable and underachieving pupils

**ACTIVITY 3 :** Raising the achievement of children in public care

#### ISSUES IDENTIFIED BY EXTERNAL AGENCIES OFSTED [October 2001]

No specific issues identified

#### CPA 2004

- need to raise attainment of CiPC
- National issues around under-achievement of CiPC to be addressed

#### **CSCI 2004**

- To raise attainment of Y11 pupils at GCSE
- To improve school attendance for CiPC

## LEA AUDIT FINDINGS [January 2005]

Attainment of Children in Pub	lic Care by Schoo	ol Year	
% of Year Cohort	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Level 4 at KS2	47%	26%	30%
1 or more A+-G	43%	72%	40%
5 or more A+-G	39%	52%	28%
5 or more A+-C	12%	15%	15%
Attendance - % CiPC for			
1 year, missing more than 50 half days	n/a	14.5%	18%
No. permanent exclusions	5 pupils	1 pupil	1 pupil

## TARGETED PUPIL/SCHOOL OUTCOMES

Schools undertake cohort tracking of CiPC pupils which demonstrates that their:

- CiPC pupils achieve/exceed predicted attainment targets based on prior attainment
   CiPC pupils maintain/improve their attendance
  - exclusions of CiPC pupils are reduced

All CiPC are on a school or PRU roll within 20 days of placement request All CiPC have a PEP

## TARGETED LEA OUTCOMES

LPSA Target:

44% of Y6 cohort of CiPC achieve level 4 KS2 SATs in June 2005 90% Care leavers, aged 16 and over have 1 or more A\*-G at GCSE by March 2006 7 Young people aged 16 and over leaving care between March 03 and 06 have 5 or more A\*-C at GCSE

Monitoring:	CiPC Management Group Cabinet members and Advisers Pupil Inclusion Panel
-------------	--

	Social Services – monitor PEPs Governing Bodies
Evaluation:	Attainment of CiPC against LPSA targets Attendance and Exclusions data NEET returns for CiPC Progress with Action Plans
Risk Assessment	If this activity is not undertaken then RMBC will not fulfil its requirements to raise the attainment of CiPC
Links with Other Plans	Inclusion Strategic plan Corporate Plan Community Strategy Social Services Local Improvement Plan Action Plan to address SEU Report (Social Services)

## Education of Looked After Children, Meeting 28<sup>th</sup> February 2005

### **Report Compiled by Katy Hawkins**

#### The Team

Apart from the temporary admin post for Teenagers to Work the team is currently fully staffed. The staff are currently working at full capacity. Due to the high demand of support needs in the secondary sector the limited Learning Mentor capacity within the team means we are not able to improve outcomes for as many young people as demand requires.

In the past two months we have received thirteen referrals; ten of which have been allocated in the team.

We have a significant number of year 8 and year 9 girls who are beginning to exhibit challenging behaviour in school and we are working on developing a girls group to address some of the presenting issues. We hope to have this up and running after Easter.

Rehearsals for our version of Grease in collaboration with RCAT are starting at the end of February and we have had a really positive response from children and young people wanting to take part.

The weekend club is going strong with numbers up to fifteen attending the activities. We are planning to start a young journalist group, where young people are trained in writing articles and photography and encouraged to interview people who have an impact in their life, this work will be displayed on the Get Real website.

On 4<sup>th</sup> March we have a conference for Social Services staff, foster carers and other relevant people involved in Looked after children; this is to compliment the conference we held in October for Designated Teachers and Governors. We plan later on in the year to hold a joint conference which will encourage joint working.

Teenagers to work are progressing with the RTI scheme with six young people signed up and attending the course. Due to the withdrawal of match funding from Phoenix enterprises we can only have eight young people through the scheme now. A property has been identified for renovation and negotiations on signing the lease are underway.

A days training was successfully delivered to 12 Social Workers in January.

We have named education link workers in all our children's residential units and hold half termly meetings where each unit is encouraged to identify specific training needs around education. Training around residential staff being able to deliver units of an Asdan award in collaboration with our team has been identified as a way forward for those young people who are not attending school. However there is a cost implication here of £820 which is proving difficult to find.

#### **PSA** performance

As we enter the final year of our LPSA agreement we are in some cases working with results already achieved and monitoring closely those results which will impact. The breakdown is as follows:

GCSE grades A\* - G, target 90%. Members are aware that in the 2004 examinations, 56.5% achieved grades A\* - G although the care leaver statistic for 2004/5 has not yet been calculated. The only impact we can have on this target is by carefully considering the care plans for these young people and looking to see if Care Orders can be discharged early without leaving the young person at risk. However although not meeting the target, the expected outcome will still be considerably higher than the 2001 base line of 34.3%.

5 A\* - C, the target here is seven young people leaving care between April 04 and March 06. At present we have six young people who qualify for this target and again careful examination of care plans will allow us to consider if we can reach the target. This will need to take place after the results of this years cohort as it may be some of these young people will fit the criteria.

Key stage 2 SATs results, Target 42%. We are currently on line for this target. One young person has entered the system early February and we are awaiting his predicted results, if he meets level 4 the predicted outcome will be 55.5%, if he does not the expected outcome will be 50%. The risk factors in the category are high as previous years have shown this is one of the most transitory groups. Up until the point of sitting the tests we are open to young people entering or leaving the system which affects results.

#### **Improvement Plan**

#### Attendance.

For the school year 03/04 the absence figure for Children in Care over 12 months and missing 25 days or more education is 18%, this was higher than our predicted figure of 14%, activities to improve this figure include;

A new system for monitoring attendance allows us now to have access to registers for young people. This makes our data more timely and accurate. It also allows us to pick up early warning signs and investigate absences which are beginning to build up.

We have developed a system where every young person who achieves 100% attendance gets a certificate and voucher for an activity of their choice, this has been the first term this has run and 101 certificates where sent out. We have had positive feedback from carers on this.

A letter from the Head of Service has been sent to all Parents who have Children subject to Care Orders living with them. This letter outlines their responsibilities in getting their children to school and failure to do so may result in a prosecution under section 444 of the Education Act 1996.

We are currently addressing the small number of Looked After Children, both in foster Care and in family placements, who are having holidays during term time which impacts on our figures.

#### GCSE Attainment – 2005 onwards

Clearly, activities in this area link with the activities for improving results for the LPSA.

This year's cohort stands at forty young people which is the largest Year 11 cohort since the beginning of the team. The team are the only source of education for five young people who are all working towards a minimum of three GCSE's. This is on top of a further three younger years that have alternative packages where the teaching is delivered by the Get Real Team. The teaching staff are actively supporting a further sixteen young people to help improve their outcomes. This high level of support limits us in picking up young people whose grades are beginning to drop in school. The Art tuition is proving to be very successful with some very challenging young people engaging well, this is an area where development may offer a GCSE to the most hard to reach young people. We have also given consideration to developing the delivery of the Expressive Arts GCSE: again the syllabus for this could enable some of the most dis-engaged young people to work towards a GCSE. Unfortunately staff capacity is not allowing us to follow this up. The mentoring staff are prioritising year 11 pupils at risk of dis-engaging again this is a scarce resource in the team and there is a delicate balance between supporting other young people. The homework club has been a positive resource and we are trying to link young people into this who are at risk of falling behind in their coursework or studies.

All Year 11 pupils are regularly monitored and Action plans put in place.

The breakdown of the cohorts predicted grades are as follows;

- Total cohort 40 pupils
- 9 children in special school 22.5%

Page 13

- 1 long term dis-engaged 2.5%
- 5 A- G 30%
- 5 A\* C 7.5%
- 1 A\* -G 70%

#### Pupils off school roll

We currently have 3 pupils off school roll

- A young man in year 4 who is in a specialist placement receiving education within the placement.
- A young man excluded from specialist EBD provision is awaiting an out of authority placement
- A year 11 pupil who has just been returned to Rotherham following exclusion from an out of authority placement, the plan is she will go on roll of the LEA specialist EBD provision with an alternative off site package.

#### Forthcoming Events

- Between 21<sup>st</sup> Feb and end of March a number of mandatory training sessions are being put on for foster carers, it is hoped all carers attend these sessions and help us develop further training.
- A number of young people are meeting with Elected Members to discuss the corporate parenting agenda and policy